Panel Scientific and Technical Review Form

(Note: Review comments will be anonymous, but public.)

Proposal number: 2001-K212	Short Proposal Title: Habitat simulation model
1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses cl	learly stated?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Reviewer: Yes. Reviewer: Yes, but second objective may not be a s	not be appropriate.
Panel Summary: Concur.	
1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly	explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.	
Panel Summary: Yes.	
1b2) Is the approach well designed and	appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.	
Panel Summary: Concur.	
1c1) Has the applicant justified the selectfull-scale implementation project?	ction of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.	
Panel Summary: Concur.	

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.
Panel Summary: Concur.
2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.
Panel Summary: Concur.
2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes. Results of study will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal.
Panel Summary: Yes. Concur with need to produce peer-reviewed paper.
3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?
Summary of Reviewers comments: Yes.
Panel Summary: Yes.
4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Summary of Reviewers comments:

Yes.

Panel Summary:

Yes.

5)Other comments

Reviewer 1: Very Good Reviewer 2: Excellent

Overall Evaluation PANEL SUMMARY COMMENTS

Summary Rating

Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor

Your Rating: VERY GOOD